

On the (non)-singularity of Kac-Rice densities

Thomas Letendre (Université Paris–Saclay)
joint work with Michele Ancona

Conference on Random Nodal Domains
Rennes – June 6th 2023

Zeros of Gaussian fields

$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth stationary centered Gaussian field.

Correlation function $\kappa : x \mapsto \mathbb{E}[f(0)f(x)]$ s.t. $\kappa(0) = 1$ and $\kappa(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow +\infty} 0$.

For any $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$ distinct and $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(f(x_1), \dots, f^{(k_1)}(x_1), \dots, f(x_m), \dots, f^{(k_m)}(x_m) \right)$$

is a non-degenerate Gaussian vector.

Zeros of Gaussian fields

$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth stationary centered Gaussian field.

Correlation function $\kappa : x \mapsto \mathbb{E}[f(0)f(x)]$ s.t. $\kappa(0) = 1$ and $\kappa(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow +\infty} 0$.

For any $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$ distinct and $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(f(x_1), \dots, f^{(k_1)}(x_1), \dots, f(x_m), \dots, f^{(k_m)}(x_m) \right)$$

is a non-degenerate Gaussian vector.

Linear statistics

Almost surely $f^{-1}(0)$ is locally finite and we denote $\mu = \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(0)} \delta_x$

$$\langle \mu, \phi \rangle = \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(0)} \phi(x).$$

Kac–Rice formula for factorial moment measures

Let $p \geq 1$, we denote $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\phi^{\otimes p}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^p \phi(x_i)$.

$\mu^{\otimes p} = \sum_{\underline{x} \in f^{-1}(0)^p} \delta_{\underline{x}}$ random Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^p and

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle \mu, \phi \rangle^p] = \langle \mathbb{E}[\mu^{\otimes p}], \phi^{\otimes p} \rangle.$$

Kac–Rice formula for factorial moment measures

Let $p \geq 1$, we denote $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\phi^{\otimes p}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^p \phi(x_i)$.

$\mu^{\otimes p} = \sum_{\underline{x} \in f^{-1}(0)^p} \delta_{\underline{x}}$ random Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^p and

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle \mu, \phi \rangle^p] = \langle \mathbb{E}[\mu^{\otimes p}], \phi^{\otimes p} \rangle.$$

Denote $\Delta_p = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid \exists i \neq j \text{ s.t. } x_i = x_j\}$ and $\mu^{[p]} = \sum_{\underline{x} \in f^{-1}(0)^p \setminus \Delta_p} \delta_{\underline{x}}$.

Moments of linear statistics can be expressed in terms of $(\mathbb{E}[\mu^{[p]}])_{p \geq 1}$.

Kac–Rice formula

$$\mathbb{E}[\mu^{[p]}] = \rho_p(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}, \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_p(\underline{x}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^p |f'(x_i)| \mid \forall i, f(x_i) = 0]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \det \text{Var}(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p))^{1/2}}.$$

Computation of central moments of $\langle \mu, \phi \rangle$

General strategy

Step 1 (local integrability): understand the singularity of ρ_p along Δ_p .

Step 2 (clustering): $\rho_{p+q}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \rho_p(\underline{x})\rho_q(\underline{y}) + \varepsilon_\kappa \left(\min_{i,j} |y_j - x_i| \right)$.

Step 3 (combinatorics): write the p -th central moment as the integral of a polynomial in $(\rho_k)_{1 \leq k \leq p}$, and compute.

Computation of central moments of $\langle \mu, \phi \rangle$

General strategy

Step 1 (local integrability): understand the singularity of ρ_p along Δ_p .

Step 2 (clustering): $\rho_{p+q}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \rho_p(\underline{x})\rho_q(\underline{y}) + \varepsilon_\kappa \left(\min_{i,j} |y_j - x_i| \right)$.

Step 3 (combinatorics): write the p -th central moment as the integral of a polynomial in $(\rho_k)_{1 \leq k \leq p}$, and compute.

Ancona–L., 19: N_d number of real roots of a degree d Kostlan polynomial

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{N_d - \mathbb{E}[N_d]}{\text{Var}(N_d)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^p \right] \xrightarrow{d \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(0, 1)^p].$$

Ancona–L., 20: $N_R = \text{card}(f^{-1}(0) \cap [0, R]) = \langle \mu, \mathbf{1}_{[0, R]} \rangle$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$, same result if $\kappa^{(k)}(x) = o(x^{-4p})$ for all $0 \leq k \leq p$.

Gass, 21: Same result for N_R if $\kappa^{(k)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $0 \leq k \leq 2p$.

A conceptual proof that ρ_p is continuous

$F(\underline{x}) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p)) = \text{ev}_{\underline{x}}(f)$ smooth Gaussian field on \mathbb{R}^p .

Non-degenerate on $\mathbb{R}^p \setminus \Delta_p$ and $\mu^{[p]} = \sum_{\underline{x} \in F^{-1}(0) \setminus \Delta_p} \delta_{\underline{x}}$.

Kac–Rice for $\mu^{[p]}$ is Kac–Rice for the expectation applied to $F|_{\mathbb{R}^p \setminus \Delta_p}$ and:

$$\rho_p(\underline{x}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\det(D_{\underline{x}}F)| \mid F(\underline{x}) = 0]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \det \text{Var}(F(\underline{x}))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

A conceptual proof that ρ_p is continuous

$F(\underline{x}) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p)) = \text{ev}_{\underline{x}}(f)$ smooth Gaussian field on \mathbb{R}^p .

Non-degenerate on $\mathbb{R}^p \setminus \Delta_p$ and $\mu^{[p]} = \sum_{\underline{x} \in F^{-1}(0) \setminus \Delta_p} \delta_{\underline{x}}$.

Kac–Rice for $\mu^{[p]}$ is Kac–Rice for the expectation applied to $F|_{\mathbb{R}^p \setminus \Delta_p}$ and:

$$\rho_p(\underline{x}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\det(D_{\underline{x}}F)| \mid F(\underline{x}) = 0]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \det \text{Var}(F(\underline{x}))^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\det(D_{\underline{x}}G)| \mid G(\underline{x}) = 0]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \det \text{Var}(G(\underline{x}))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Main point

- We can replace F by *any* smooth Gaussian field G such that $F^{-1}(0) \setminus \Delta_p \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} G^{-1}(0) \setminus \Delta_p$.
- If G is non-degenerate on \mathbb{R}^p then ρ_p extends continuously to \mathbb{R}^p .

Hermite interpolation and multi-jets

We can build a natural G that “looks like”:

$$G(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{(k_1+1) \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{x_m, \dots, x_m}_{(k_m+1) \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{\left(f(x_1), \dots, f^{(k_1)}(x_1), \dots, f(x_m), \dots, f^{(k_m)}(x_m) \right)}_{\text{“multi-jet of } f \text{ at } \underline{x}\text{”}}.$$

Hermite interpolation and multi-jets

We can build a natural G that “looks like”:

$$G(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{(k_1+1) \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{x_m, \dots, x_m}_{(k_m+1) \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{\left(f(x_1), \dots, f^{(k_1)}(x_1), \dots, f(x_m), \dots, f^{(k_m)}(x_m) \right)}_{\text{“multi-jet of } f \text{ at } \underline{x}\text{”}}.$$

Hermite polynomial of f at $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_m)$

There exists a unique $P \in \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X]$ s.t. $(P^{(k)}(x_i))_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq k \leq k_i}} = (f^{(k)}(x_i))_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq k \leq k_i}}$.

Denote this polynomial by $j(f, \underline{x})$.

Hermite interpolation and multi-jets

- $j(\cdot, \underline{x})$ is linear $\rightsquigarrow j(f, \underline{x})$ is Gaussian, and non-degenerate.
- $j(f, \cdot)$ is smooth $\rightsquigarrow j(f, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X]$ smooth Gaussian field.
- $\forall \underline{x} \notin \Delta_p, j(f, \underline{x}) = 0 \iff F(\underline{x}) = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ same zeros as F on $\mathbb{R}^p \setminus \Delta_p$.

Replacing F by $G = j(f, \cdot)$, we immediately obtain that $\rho_p \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}^p)$.

A geometric perspective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{j(\cdot, \underline{x})} & \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \simeq \mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} \\ & \searrow \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} \\ & & \mathbb{R}^p \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} := \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) / \ker j(\cdot, \underline{x})$$

A geometric perspective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{j(\cdot, \underline{x})} & \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \simeq \mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} \\ & \searrow \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} \\ & & \mathbb{R}^p \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} := \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) / \ker j(\cdot, \underline{x})$$

As vector bundles over \mathbb{R}^p , $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \times \mathbb{R}^p \simeq \mathcal{J}$.

$j(f, \cdot)$ is a section of $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$.

A geometric perspective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{j(\cdot, \underline{x})} & \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \simeq \mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} \\ & \searrow \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} \\ & & \mathbb{R}^p \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} := \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) / \ker j(\cdot, \underline{x})$$

As vector bundles over \mathbb{R}^p , $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^p \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \times \mathbb{R}^p \simeq \mathcal{J}$.

$j(f, \cdot)$ is a section of $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$.

Take home message

- Building a multi-jet bundle $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ and a smooth bundle map j sending (f, \underline{x}) to its multi-jet is enough to prove $\rho_p \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}^p)$.
- This is done using polynomial interpolation.

Can we do the same for fields on \mathbb{R}^n ?

$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ nice Gaussian field and $\langle \mu, \phi \rangle = \int_{f^{-1}(0)} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$.

Kac–Rice formula

$$\mathbb{E}[\mu^{[p]}] = \rho_p(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}, \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_p(\underline{x}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^p \|D_{x_i} f\| \mid \forall i, f(x_i) = 0]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \det \text{Var}(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Can we do the same for fields on \mathbb{R}^n ?

$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ nice Gaussian field and $\langle \mu, \phi \rangle = \int_{f^{-1}(0)} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$.

Kac–Rice formula

$$\mathbb{E}[\mu^{[p]}] = \rho_p(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}, \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_p(\underline{x}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^p \|D_{x_i} f\| \mid \forall i, f(x_i) = 0]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \det \text{Var}(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Bad news

- Polynomial interpolation is ill-posed in \mathbb{R}^n .
- $\rho_2(x, y) \propto \|y - x\|^{2-n}$ as $y \rightarrow x$. Can hope that ρ_p is L^1_{loc} but not C^0 .

Yes, we can!

Theorem (Ancona–L.)

For any ambient dimension $n \geq 1$ and any $p \geq 1$ we have $\rho_p \in L^1_{loc}((\mathbb{R}^n)^p)$.

Corollary

The linear statistics of μ have finite moments of all order.

Yes, we can!

Theorem (Ancona–L.)

For any ambient dimension $n \geq 1$ and any $p \geq 1$ we have $\rho_p \in L_{loc}^1((\mathbb{R}^n)^p)$.

Corollary

The linear statistics of μ have finite moments of all order.

- Recovers a result by Armentano–Azaïs–Ginsbourger–Leòn.
- Works for $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-d}$, proved independently by Gass–Stecconi.
- Works on manifolds.

Divided differences in \mathbb{R}^n

$\sigma_k = \left\{ \underline{t} \in [0, 1]^{k+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^k t_i = 1 \right\}$ and ν_k its Lebesgue measure.

Let $\underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{k+1}$ we set $\sigma(\underline{x}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k t_i x_i \mid \underline{t} \in \sigma_k \right\}$ and

$$\int_{\sigma(\underline{x})} \phi(y) d\nu(y) := \int_{\sigma_k} \phi\left(\sum_{i=0}^k t_i x_i\right) d\nu_k(\underline{t}).$$

Divided differences in \mathbb{R}^n

$\sigma_k = \left\{ \underline{t} \in [0, 1]^{k+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^k t_i = 1 \right\}$ and ν_k its Lebesgue measure.

Let $\underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{k+1}$ we set $\sigma(\underline{x}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k t_i x_i \mid \underline{t} \in \sigma_k \right\}$ and

$$\int_{\sigma(\underline{x})} \phi(y) d\nu(y) := \int_{\sigma_k} \phi\left(\sum_{i=0}^k t_i x_i\right) d\nu_k(\underline{t}).$$

Definition

If $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define $f[x_0, \dots, x_k] := \int_{\sigma(\underline{x})} D_y^k f d\nu(y) \in \text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- $f[x_0, \dots, x_k]$ linear w.r.t. f ;
- It is smooth and symmetric w.r.t. \underline{x} ;
- If $k = 0$, then $f[x] = f(x)$.

Kergin interpolation

Kergin polynomial of $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at $\underline{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^p$

There exists a unique $K(f, \underline{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X]$ s.t $f[(x_i)_{i \in I}] = K(f, \underline{x})[(x_i)_{i \in I}]$ for all non-empty $I \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$.

$$K(f, \underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^p f[x_1, \dots, x_i](X - x_1, \dots, X - x_{i-1})$$

In particular, $f(x_i) = K(f, \underline{x})(x_i)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$.

Kergin interpolation

Kergin polynomial of $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at $\underline{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^p$

There exists a unique $K(f, \underline{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X]$ s.t. $f[(x_i)_{i \in I}] = K(f, \underline{x})[(x_i)_{i \in I}]$ for all non-empty $I \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$.

$$K(f, \underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^p f[x_1, \dots, x_i](X - x_1, \dots, X - x_{i-1})$$

In particular, $f(x_i) = K(f, \underline{x})(x_i)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$.

The multi-jet of f at $\underline{x} \notin \Delta_p$ is defined by p linear conditions.

$\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X]$ is too large to be the space of multi-jets at \underline{x} .

Multi-jet bundle over $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p$

Let $\underline{x} \notin \Delta_p$, then $\text{ev}_{\underline{x}} : \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is surjective.

Denote $\mathcal{G}(\underline{x}) = \ker \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} \in \text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$, then

$$(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p)) = (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_p)) \iff K(f, \underline{x}) - K(g, \underline{x}) \in \mathcal{G}(\underline{x}).$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p \\ \downarrow \kappa \\ \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p \\ \downarrow \text{ev} \\ \mathbb{R}^p \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p \end{array}$$

Multi-jet bundle over $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p$

Let $\underline{x} \notin \Delta_p$, then $\text{ev}_{\underline{x}} : \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is surjective.

Denote $\mathcal{G}(\underline{x}) = \ker \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} \in \text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$, then

$$(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p)) = (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_p)) \iff K(f, \underline{x}) - K(g, \underline{x}) \in \mathcal{G}(\underline{x}).$$

Definition

$$\mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} = \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] / \mathcal{G}(\underline{x})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p & & \\ \downarrow \kappa & & \\ \ker(\text{ev}) = \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} & & \\ \downarrow \text{ev} & & \\ \mathbb{R}^p \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p & & \end{array}$$

Multi-jet bundle over $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p$

Let $\underline{x} \notin \Delta_p$, then $\text{ev}_{\underline{x}} : \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is surjective.

Denote $\mathcal{G}(\underline{x}) = \ker \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} \in \text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$, then

$$(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p)) = (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_p)) \iff K(f, \underline{x}) - K(g, \underline{x}) \in \mathcal{G}(\underline{x}).$$

Definition

$$\mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} = \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] / \mathcal{G}(\underline{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad j(f, \underline{x}) = K(f, \underline{x}) \bmod \mathcal{G}(\underline{x}).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p & & \xrightarrow{j} \\ \downarrow \kappa & & \searrow \\ \ker(\text{ev}) = \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J} \\ \downarrow \text{ev} & & \\ \mathbb{R}^p \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p & & \end{array}$$

Multi-jet bundle over $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p$

Let $\underline{x} \notin \Delta_p$, then $\text{ev}_{\underline{x}} : \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is surjective.

Denote $\mathcal{G}(\underline{x}) = \ker \text{ev}_{\underline{x}} \in \text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$, then

$$(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p)) = (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_p)) \iff K(f, \underline{x}) - K(g, \underline{x}) \in \mathcal{G}(\underline{x}).$$

Definition

$$\mathcal{J}_{\underline{x}} = \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] / \mathcal{G}(\underline{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad j(f, \underline{x}) = K(f, \underline{x}) \bmod \mathcal{G}(\underline{x}).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p & & \\ \downarrow \kappa & \searrow j & \\ \ker(\text{ev}) = \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X] \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J} \\ \downarrow \text{ev} & & \\ \mathbb{R}^p \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p & & \end{array}$$

Does this extend over $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p$?

More bad news

Can we extend \mathcal{G} as a smooth map on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p$?

No, we can't!

Take $n = p = 2$, $x = (0, 0)$ and $y = R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$ then

$$\mathcal{G}(0, y) = \text{Span}(X_1 \sin(\theta) - X_2 \cos(\theta)) \subset \mathbb{R}_1[X_1, X_2]$$

and $\mathcal{G}(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{R}_1[X])$ does not extend \mathcal{C}^0 to \mathbb{R}^2 .

More bad news

Can we extend \mathcal{G} as a smooth map on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p$?

No, we can't!

Take $n = p = 2$, $x = (0, 0)$ and $y = R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$ then

$$\mathcal{G}(0, y) = \text{Span}(X_1 \sin(\theta) - X_2 \cos(\theta)) \subset \mathbb{R}_1[X_1, X_2]$$

and $\mathcal{G}(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{R}_1[X])$ does not extend \mathcal{C}^0 to \mathbb{R}^2 .

But $(R, u) \mapsto \mathcal{G}(0, Ru)$ extends \mathcal{C}^0 from $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ to $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

That is $\mathcal{G}(0, \cdot)$ extends to $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \simeq (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \sqcup \mathbb{S}^1$.

“Compactification” of configuration spaces

We need to build a smooth manifold $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n]$ such that:

- $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n]$ contains $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p$ as a dense open subset;
- \mathcal{G} extends smoothly to $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n]$.

Example

For $p = 2$ and $n \geq 2$, $C_2[\mathbb{R}^n] = \text{Bl}_{\Delta_2}((\mathbb{R}^n)^2)$ works.

“Compactification” of configuration spaces

We need to build a smooth manifold $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n]$ such that:

- $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n]$ contains $(\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p$ as a dense open subset;
- \mathcal{G} extends smoothly to $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n]$.

Example

For $p = 2$ and $n \geq 2$, $C_2[\mathbb{R}^n] = \text{Bl}_{\Delta_2}((\mathbb{R}^n)^2)$ works.

Things that we tried and don't work

- Olver multispaces;
- Compactifications by Fulton–MacPherson, Axelrod–Singer, Ulyanov;
- Hilbert schemes;
- Various sequences of blow-ups;
- An explicit construction with nice global coordinates.

Construction of $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n]$

Recall that $\mathcal{G} : (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p \rightarrow \text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$ is smooth.

$$(\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p \simeq \{(x, \mathcal{G}(x)) \mid x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p\} =: \Sigma.$$

\mathcal{G} extends to $\bar{\Sigma} \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \times \text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$ by projecting onto $\text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$.

Problem

$\bar{\Sigma}$ is not smooth unless $p = 1$ or $n = 1$.

Construction of $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n]$

Recall that $\mathcal{G} : (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p \rightarrow \text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$ is smooth.

$$(\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p \simeq \{(x, \mathcal{G}(x)) \mid x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \setminus \Delta_p\} =: \Sigma.$$

\mathcal{G} extends to $\bar{\Sigma} \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^p \times \text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$ by projecting onto $\text{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}_{p-1}[X])$.

Problem

$\bar{\Sigma}$ is not smooth unless $p = 1$ or $n = 1$.

Theorem (Hironaka, 1964)

$\bar{\Sigma}$ admits a resolution of singularities, obtained by a sequence of blow-ups.

Any such resolution $C_p[\mathbb{R}^n] \twoheadrightarrow \bar{\Sigma}$ solves our problem.

The end

Thank you for your attention.